

-715 (#5)

FOIA - Freedom of Information Act - Windows Internet Explorer provided by EPA

https://foiaonline.regulations.gov/foia/action/public/view/request?objectId=090004d28000d10d

File Edit View Favorites Tools Help

FOIA - Freedom of Information Act

Welcome, Ross Brennan [SIGN OFF](#)

[Glossary](#) [FAQs](#) [Resources](#) [About](#)

[Home](#) [Search](#) [Reports](#) [My Account](#)

[Search FOIA Requests](#)

[Make a FOIA Request](#)

### Request Details

Tracking Number : EPA-HQ-2013-000715

Submitted Evaluation Assignment Processing Closed

#### Request Information

Full Name : Keisha Sedlacek  
Organization : Hall & Associates  
Request Type : Request

#### Phase Information

Estimated Date of Completion : TBD  
Final Disposition : Undetermined

#### Description :

To Whom This May Concern:

Please find attached a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request #5 for records associated with EPA's response to the Great Bay Municipal Coalition's scientific misconduct letter. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact this office.

Sincerely, Keisha Sedlacek

#### Attached Supporting Files

Attached File	Type	Size (KB)
FOIA Request 5.pdf	PDF	24.69

#### Released Records

No records have been released.

[BACK TO SEARCH RESULTS](#) [BACK TO SEARCH](#) [HOME](#)

Help Desk (9:00 am - 5:00 pm ET, M-F) | Toll-Free: 855-246-FOIA (855-246-3642) | Local: (703) 412-3082 | [Email Support](#)  
[Privacy and Security Notice](#) | [Accessibility Statement](#)

## **HALL & ASSOCIATES**

Suite 701  
1620 I Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20006-4033  
Telephone: (202) 463-1166 Web: <http://www.hall-associates.com> Fax: (202) 463-4207

Reply to E-mail:  
[jhall@hall-associates.com](mailto:jhall@hall-associates.com)

October 22, 2012

### **VIA E-MAIL**

National Freedom of Information Officer  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW (2822T)  
Washington, D.C. 20460  
E-mail: [hq.foia@epa.gov](mailto:hq.foia@epa.gov)

**RE: Freedom of Information Act Request for Records Associated with EPA's Response to the Great Bay Municipal Coalition's Scientific Misconduct Letter**

To Whom This May Concern:

This is a request for public records pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 U.S.C. § 552, as implemented by the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") at 40 C.F.R. Part 2. This request is submitted by Hall & Associates on behalf of the Great Bay Municipal Coalition ("the Coalition"). For purposes of this request, the definition of "records" includes, but is not limited to, documents, letters, memoranda, notes, reports, e-mail messages, policy statements, data, technical evaluations or analysis, and studies.

### **Background:**

On May 4, 2012, the Coalition submitted a letter to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson and Inspector General Arthur A. Elkins, Jr. requesting (1) the review of Great Bay water quality criteria compliance and permitting be withdrawn from EPA Region I and transferred to an independent panel of experts who can assess the scientific basis of the Region's position and (2) the Region's actions leading to this request be investigated by the Office of Inspector General. The May 4, 2012, letter outlined, in detail, why EPA Region I's stance on imposing stringent TN limitations is based on the improper use of data and analyses to support a desired outcome and is not grounded in sound science. Additionally, the letter described how EPA has refused to allow an open peer review with public involvement in the process. Related to this request, the Coalition has met with EPA and submitted supplemental information to Ellen Gilinsky, Senior Policy Advisor, EPA's Office of Water on this issue.



On September 27, 2012, Nancy Stoner, EPA's Acting Assistant Administrator, responded to the Coalition stating EPA "has not seen any evidence that Region I engaged in scientific misconduct." The letter does not offer any explanation that indicates specific allegations raised by the Coalition were actually in error or false. This FOIA request seeks any such information regarding specific allegations.

**Request:**

As part of the Coalition's submissions to EPA, the following statement was provided:

*This peer review occurred without considerations of EPA's 2009 Science Advisory Board peer review, which concluded the type of "stressor-response" analysis used to generate the stringent TN criteria was not "scientifically defensible," did not demonstrate "cause and effect," and could misallocate local resources.*

Please provide us with all records or factual analyses that show this statement is incorrect.

Please contact the undersigned if the associated search and duplication costs are anticipated to exceed \$250.00. Please duplicate the records that are responsible to this request and send them to the undersigned at the above address. If any requested records are withheld based upon any asserted privilege, please identify the basis for the non-disclosure. Moreover, to the extent EPA asserts that a document, or portions thereof, is privileged, the Agency is still responsible for producing the non-privileged portions of that document. If you have any questions regarding this request, please do not hesitate to contact this office so as to ensure that agency resources are conserved and only the necessary documents are reproduced.

Sincerely,

/s/ John C. Hall

JOHN C. HALL

Cc: Great Bay Municipal Coalition